Changing copulas and the case of Hungarian prenominal PPs

Veronika Hegedűs

Research Institute for Linguistics Hungarian Academy of Sciences

DiGS 18 June 29 – July 1 2016 Ghent University

Changing copulas

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト 二日

Starting points

- The Hungarian copula seems to have two present participial forms: val-ó, lev-ő 'being', related to the two copular roots. Old Hungarian only has való.
 When did levő appear?
 What are the changes in the distribution of való?
- The lexical item való is claimed to have three variants in Modern Hungarian: an adjective ('real/suitable'), a participle and a 'function word' (Laczkó & Rákosi 2007). What is the distribution of való in Old Hungarian?
- The function word is obviously related to the (participial) copula.
 What is the 'function word' use of *való* and how is it related to its copular use diachronically?

イロト イヨト イヨト イヨト 三日

Starting points (cont.)

- Copular elements often seem to be subjects to reanalysis or grammaticalization:
 - copular verbs may change into grammatical markers or affixes, may become functional heads not related to Tense (e.g. case markers; Lohndal 2009)
 - copulas may develop from pronominal or adpositional elements (Van Gelderen 2011).
- Prenominal adpositional phrases—which are often ungrammatical prenominally on their own—may be licensed by a functional element

▲□▶ ▲圖▶ ▲ 圖▶ ▲ 圖▶ ■

Aims

- present a case study of a reanalysis, whereby a copula became a non-verbal relator and another participial form took over its original function
- \blacktriangleright propose an analysis of the structural change of $val \acute{o}$
- ▶ additionally: outline further changes in prenominal modifiers in Hungarian

・ロト ・四ト ・ヨト ・ヨト ・ヨ

Outline

Introduction

The data: prenominal PPs

Proposal: reanalysis and generalized licensing

Further changes in the distribution

Conclusions

Changing copulas

・ロト ・四ト ・ヨト ・ヨト ・ヨ

Introduction

The data: prenominal PPs

Proposal: reanalysis and generalized licensing

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト 二日

6/39

Further changes in the distribution

Conclusions

Changing copulas

Prenominal PPs

- English and other languages: head-initial phrases cannot be pre-modifiers, i.e. PPs cannot precede nouns they modify
- ▶ Williams (1982): Head Final Filter
- (1) a. *an [under the city] tunnel
 - b. a tunnel [under the city]
 - not even possible with postpositional phrases in some languages, e.g. Hungarian
- (2) a. *egy [a város alatt] alagút a the city under tunnel 'a tunnel under the city'
 - b. egy alagút [a város alatt] a tunnel the city under 'a tunnel under the city'

Licensing strategies

► való

- (3) a. a *Péter-rel* való találkozás the Peter-INSTR VALÓ meeting 'meeting Peter'
 - b. találkozás *Péter-rel* meeting Peter-INSTR 'meeting Peter'

▶ levő (lévő) / -i

(4) a. az út mellett levő fa / az út-on levő fa the road beside.at being tree / the road-SUP being tree 'the tree next to the road / the tree on the road'
b. az út mellett-i fa / *az út-on-i fa the road beside-MOD tree / the road-SUP-MOD tree 'the tree next to the road / the tree on the road'
c. a fa az út mellett / a fa az út-on the tree the road beside / the tree the road-SUP 'the tree next to the road / the tree on the road'

Licensing strategies (cont.)

- participial clauses prenominally: with semantically rather 'empty', general verbs
- (5) a. a [*Péter-ről* szóló] film the Peter-DEL sounding film 'the film about Peter'
 - b. [külföld-re történő] szállítás abroad-SUB happening transport 'transportation (to) abroad'

Background assumptions

- follow others in assuming that való licenses PPs and adverbs prenominally in a had-final NP (Szabolcsi & Laczkó 1992, Laczkó 1995) – I will propose a syntactic structure
- acknowledge that Hungarian may have a growing number of post-nominal adjuncts and complement PPs (Simonyi 1914, Honti & H. Varga 2012) – The "growth" is hard to measure, however, as hardly any tests can be used to prove constituency But: The tendency is not unexpected given the changes from OV

to VO and generally less strict head-finality of phrases.

(6) Hall-ott-am az interjú-t Péter-rel. hear-PST-1SG the interview-ACC Peter-INSTR 'I heard the interview with Peter.'

Prenominal PPs without $val \acute{o}$

- ▶ has been possible from the oldest texts
- related to preverbal position occupied by (secondary) predicates, directional complements
- (7) a. viadal-ba mėnès=nèlkul fight-ILL going=without 'without going into a fight' (Vienna C. 24, 1416/1450)
 - b. Bécs-be érkezésével
 Vienna-ILL arriving-INSTR
 'with his arriving in Vienna' (Károlyi 161., 1717)

- \blacktriangleright but directional complements are found with $val \acute{o}$ as well
- (8) a. ketseg-ben val-o eses-nek despair-INE be-PART falling-DAT
 'for falling into despair' (Bod C. 5r, early 16th c.)
 - b. fÿ-am-hoz ual-o menes-om-et son-POSS.1SG-ALL be-PART going-poss.1sg.acc 'my going to my son' (Kazinczy C. 6v, 1526-41)
 - \blacktriangleright the distribution of $val \acute{o}$ here is related to another syntactic variation
 - ▶ When does the prenominal element become prenominal?

Verbal Modifiers

- \blacktriangleright VM position filled in before nominalization: no $val \acute{o}$ needed
- directional complements, secondary predicates are not always preverbal in Old Hungarian (vs. Modern Hungarian)
- \blacktriangleright variation in the presence of $val \acute{o}$ is due to this
- (9) meny-be megyen heaven-ILL go.3SG
 'He goes to heaven.' (Jókai C. 133, 1372/1448)

Verbal Modifiers (cont.)

(10) viadal-ba mėnės fight-ILL going 'going into a fight'

(Vienna C. 24, 1416/1450)

<ロ> (日) (日) (日) (日) (日)



크

Copular use

- ▶ prenominal clause with copula before N
- ▶ való: val- copular root + -ó participial suffix
- (12) mend [paradisum-ben uol-ov] gimilc-íc-tul all Paradise-INE be-PART fruit-PL-ABL 'from all fruits in Paradise'

- (13) [tauol-ual-o] hely-ek-ben far-be-PART placePL-INE 'in far away places' (Jókai C. 114, 1372/1448)
- (14) [az vt mellet-ual-o] nemÿ fa-k-ra the road beside-be-PART some tree-PL-SUB 'onto some trees next to the road' (Jókai C 138 1372)

⁽Funeral Sermon, c. 1195)

Still copula?

▶ PP complement of deverbal N

(15) az-on val-o feeltem-ben that-SUP be-PART fear.POSS1SG-INE 'in my fear of that'

```
(Jordánszky C. 25, 1516–1519)
```

イロト イロト イヨト イヨト 三日

▶ PP adjunct with deverbal N

(16) Mosdatlan kèz-zèl ual-o kener etel unwashed hand-INST be-PART bread eating 'eating bread with unwashed hand(s)' (Munich C. 22ra, 1416/1466)

Still copula? (cont.)

▶ PP/adverbial modifier with N

(17) titk-on val-o taneythwany-a secret-ADV be-PART disciple-POSS3SG 'his secret disciple'

```
(Winkler C. 114r, 1506)
```

(18) ekkepp-en ual-o Cellekodeteth this.way-ADV be-PART doing 'acting this way'

```
(Kazinczy C. 48v, 1526-41)
```

(19) zenetlen valo felelm endless(.ADV) be-PART fear 'endless fear'

(Bod C. 1r, early 16th c.)

Another copular root

- levő (/lévő) appears in texts by the end of the Old Hungarian period (beg. 16th c.)
- le(v)- is another copular root
- ► the two roots are both of (Finno-)Ugric origin; they are suppletive forms: le(v)- is the root with imperative, conditional, future and with participles (lenni to be')
- ▶ le(v) originally meant 'to become' (Klemm 1928)
- (20) kazdag-ga lǫttèm rich-TRL become.1SG 'I am become rich.' (Vienna C. 197)
 - ► this root is not used in the present participial form (*levő*) in the early texts at all, the earliest occurrences in the corpus are from the end of Old Hungarian (beginning of 16th c.)

Another copular root (cont.)

- ▶ from early Middle Hungarian it appears with predicative PPs prenominally, and replaces való in this use
- it quickly becomes the form used as the participle of the copula and replaces *való* in contexts with predicational PPs
- (21) Az [Gondolat-ok kerol leu-o] uetk-ek the thought-PL around be-PART sin-PL 'the sins (being) around thoughts'

(Thewrewk C., 1531)

(22) az [ablak-om-on lév-ő] kis lyuk-on the window-POSS.1SG-SUP be-PART small hole-SUP 'on the small hole (being) on my window' (Witch trial 82, 1732) Introduction

The data: prenominal PPs

Proposal: reanalysis and generalized licensing

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト 三日

20/39

Further changes in the distribution

Conclusions

Changing copulas

The original structure

- való originates in head-final participial clauses as the participle in T (or Asp/Pred following Dékány 2014)
- ▶ in the relevant context the participial clause appears in a modifier position in the DP, they either precede or follow quantifiers



 való was reanalyzed into a different functional head by the Old Hungarian period but had its original use as well

Reanalysis

- ▶ való became a functional head in the DP-domain (a Relator head in the sense of den Dikken 2006)
- ▶ the reanalysis took place based on the surface order: the final head in the specifier became a functional head on the nominal spine
- ► this head is spelled out when a post-nominal complement or an adjunct PP is merged in the prenominal modifier position

イロト イロト イヨト イヨト 三日

Structure

(24)



- When did the reanalysis take place?: When *levő* takes over the copular function, *való* has definitely been reanalyzed
- ► At the beginning of Middle Hungarian: való is no longer a copula sitting in T (or Asp)

(25) az-on val-o feelt-em-ben that-SUP be-PART fear-POSS1SG-INE 'in my fear of that'

(Jordánszky C. 25, 1516–1519)



(26)

Levő

 Once it appeared as the suppletive form, *levő* took over very quickly



▶ NB. The internal structure of the participial clause contains a *pro* subject co-indexed with the head N (Dékány 2014), and the PP is the structural predicate in it.

(日) (四) (三) (三) (三)

Other uses of való

- ▶ való also lexicalized as an adjective with the meanings 'real, appropriate/possible/suitable' (való világ 'real world' limited).
- (28) Mi-re való-k az-ok a csont-ok? what-SUB suitable-PL that-PL the bone-PL 'What are those bones for? (Witch trial 95., 1750)
 - ▶ van 'be' has a lexical verb use with an ablative PP complement meaning 'to be from somewhere, to come from somewhere', where való is still the present participial form, as we are dealing with a lexical verb in that case.

- (29) a. A fa a tó mellett van. the tree the lake beside is 'The tree is next to the lake.'
 - b. a tó mellett lév-ő fa the lake beside be-PART tree 'the tree next to the lake'
- (30) a. A fa a tó mellől van. the tree the lake beside.from is 'The tree is from beside the lake
 - b. a tó mellől val-ó fa the lake beside.from be-PART tree 'the tree (coming) from beside the lake'

27/39

Variation with való and levő

- ▶ there is some variation
- e.g. correspondence of Nádasdy family (mid. 16th c.): more való, only one levő
- (31) Az bolt előtt val-ó pitvar-ba the shop before.at be-PART yard-ILL 'in(to) the yard in front of the shop' (Nád. correspondence, 1557)
- (32) a ti Ktek ott könn lev-ő the you highness there outside be-PART fi-á-val (egyetembe) son-POSS-INSTR (together)
 '(together) with the son of Your Highness there abroad'

(Nád. correspondence, 1550)

Other factors

- ▶ való is used with adverbs in Middle Hungarian texts, and with PPs referring to time
- ► these are later used prenominally with the -I suffix and not with *levő*
- although they may be predicative, they do not (necessarily) originate in full participial clauses
- (33) Az el-mult Pünkösd előtt val-ó hét-en the away-passed Whitsun before.at be-PART week-SUP 'on the week before last Whitsun'

(Witch trial 13, 1724)

(34) az ott ual-ó ember-ek-re the there be-PART person-PL-SUB 'on(to) the people there'

(Witch trial 453, 1648

Introduction

The data: prenominal PPs

Proposal: reanalysis and generalized licensing

30/39

Further changes in the distribution

Conclusions

Changing copulas

Another licensor: $\ensuremath{\text{-}i}$

- (35) kiral-i korona-t king-MOD crown-ACC 'royal crown' (Vienna C. 62)
 - \blacktriangleright -i appears with Ns from early on, later its distribution widens
 - ▶ from early Modern Hungarian (end of 18th c.), -i spreads to PPs/adverbs

・ロト ・四ト ・ヨト ・ヨト ・ヨ

Another licensor: -i (cont.)

- (36) a' szekér mellett-i gyalogolás the wagon beside.at-MOD walking 'walking beside the wagon' (Dugonics 1820)
- (37) a' halhatatlan-ok föld alatt-i palotá-i the immortal-PL ground under.at-MOD castle-POSS.PL 'the castles of the immortals under the ground' (Bolyai 1817)
- (38) az éjfél előtt-i álom the midnight before.at-MOD dream 'the dream before midnight' (Horváth [1809]1967)

イロト イロト イヨト イヨト 三日

Another licensor: -i (cont.)

- való vs -i: their distribution overlap to some extent, but in general való is used with dynamic events, -i is used with stative and dynamic nouns as well, but it cannot be attached to a locative or directional suffix (Laczkó 1995)
 except for some lexicalized items
- (39) a. *a város-ban-i templom the city-INE-MOD church 'the church in the city'
 - b. *a Péter-rel-i beszélgetés the Peter-INSTR-MOD talking 'the conversation with Peter'
- (40) nagy-ban-i piac big-INE-MOD market 'wholesale market'

イロト イロト イヨト イヨト 三日

-i is a functional head

 Kenesei (2014): -i is a functional head - Mod - within the DP (and not a derivational morpheme as proposed by descriptive grammars)



э

(日) (四) (日) (日) (日)

Prenominal PPs

- ► való
- ► -i
- ▶ participial clauses: *levő*, other verbs

Changing copulas

▲□▶ ▲圖▶ ▲≣▶ ▲≣▶ = 差 = のへ⊙

Introduction

The data: prenominal PPs

Proposal: reanalysis and generalized licensing

æ

36/39

Further changes in the distribution

Conclusions

Changing copulas

Conclusions

- ▶ Hungarian *való* changed from a participial copula in T (or Asp) to a functional head in the nominal domain
- ► the reanalysis resulted in a change in the form of the present participle of the copula: *levő* took over
- ▶ való is now used as a licensor of prenominal PP modifiers, sharing this function with -i (divided along semantic and morphological lines)

Thank you!

This research is supported by the OTKA project No. 112057 "Hungarian Generative Diachronic Syntax 2".

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト 二日

38/39

Changing copulas

References:

É. Kiss, K. 2014. The Evolution of Functional Left Peripheries in Hungarian Syntax. Oxford: OUP

Dékány, É. 2014. A nem véges alárendelés (az igenevek) története [The history of non-finite subordination (particples)]. In: É. Kiss K. (ed.), Ómagyar generativ mondattan. Budapest: Akadémiai Kiadó. 178–239.

Dikken, M. den. 2006. Relators and Linkers: The Syntax of Predication, Predicate Inversion, and Copulas. Cambridge: MIT Press

Gelderen, E. van. 2011. The Linguistic Cycle. Language Change and the Language Faculty. Oxford: OUP

Honti L. & H. Varga, M. 2012. A hátravetett határozó kialakulásáról [On the development of postposed modifiers]. Folia Uralica Debreceniensia 19: 45–57.

Lohndal, T. 2009. The Copula Cycle. In E. van Gelderen (ed.) Cyclical Change. Amsterdam: John Benjamins. 209–242.

Kenesei, I. On a multifunctional derivational affix. Word Structure 7: 214–239. \bullet

Klemm, Antal. 1928. Magyar történeti mondattan [Hungarian Diachronic Syntax]. Budapest: MTA

Laczkó, T. 1995. On the status of való in adjectivalized constituents in noun phrases. In: Kenesei I. (ed.) Approaches to Hungarian 5. Szeged: JATE, 125–152.

Simonyi Zs. 1914. A jelzők mondattana. Nyelvtörténeti tanulmány [The syntax of modifiers. A diachronic study]. Budapest: MTA

Szabolcsi, A. & Laczkó, T. 1992. A főnévi csoport szerkezete [The Structure of the Noun Phrase]. In: Kiefer F. (ed.) Strukturális magyar nyelvtan 1. Mondattan. Budapest: Akadémiai, 179–298.

Williams, E. 1982. Another Argument that Passive is Transformational. *Linguistic Inquiry* 13:160–163.